

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

U.S. NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY
PSC 817, BOX 1
FPO AE 09622-1000

NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5200.2C O1E:MAK:mn

## 15 MAY 2001

#### NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INSTRUCTION 5200.2C

From: Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Support Activity, Naples,

Italy

Subj: MANAGEMENT CONTROL PROGRAM (MCP)

Ref: (a) CINCUSNAVEUR NOTE 5200 of 24 Jan 01 (Series)

(b) SECNAVINST 5200.35D (c) OPNAVINST 5200.25C

Encl: (1) NAVEUR Risk Assessment Form

(2) Annual Management Control Certification Statement

1. <u>Purpose</u>. To establish command policy and to assign responsibilities for the MCP, ultimately ensuring that all resources are effectively and efficiently managed.

- 2. Cancellation. NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5200.2B.
- 3. <u>Scope</u>. This instruction applies to all military and civilian (both U.S. and Host National) managers assigned to U.S. Naval Support Activity (NAVSUPPACT), Naples, Italy with significant management control responsibilities. "Managers" are defined in reference (a) as those personnel responsible for designing and/or maintaining systems of internal control to protect resources from misuse.
- 4. <u>Background</u>. The Accounting and Auditing Act of 1950 requires the head of each department and agency to establish and maintain adequate systems of internal control. The Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act, P.L. 97-255, amended the Accounting and Auditing Act of 1950 and requires that annual evaluations be conducted of internal control systems. As such, NAVSUPPACT Naples must submit an annual statement to Commander in Chief, U.S. Naval Forces, Europe (CINCUSNAVEUR) on the state of its internal control system. The Office of Management and Budget Circular A-123 (revised 4 August 1986) established policies and procedures for the Management Control Program. References (a) through (c) provide guidance for program implementations within the Navy.

#### NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5200.2C

### 3 5 MAY 2001

- 5. Program Objectives. Internal controls are designed to provide cost-effective assurance that resources are not being misused. The MCP is not a guarantee against misuse of resources, but is based on information provided by managers and from other sources to balance the risk of misuse against the cost of protection. Internal controls are designed to provide management with reasonable assurance that:
  - a. Obligations and costs comply with applicable law.
- b. Assets are safeguarded against waste, loss, unauthorized use, and misappropriation.
- c. Revenues and expenditures are recorded and accounted for properly so that accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports may be prepared and accountability of assets maintained.
- d. Programs are efficiently and effectively carried out per applicable laws and management policy.
- 6. Policy. By law, managers are responsible for ensuring that internal controls are used cost-effectively to protect resources from misuse. NAVSUPPACT Naples policy is to hold its Department Heads/Special Assistants accountable for results of their internal control systems. These managers are further responsible for reviewing their internal controls on a continuing basis. All internal control weaknesses will be corrected in a timely manner.

### 7. Responsibilities

### a. The Command MCP Coordinator (Code 01E) will:

- (1) Serve as the point of contact for all matters and actions related to the management control program.
- (2) Provide command management with information on the MCP and training on risk assessments and management control reviews.
- (3) Ensure that internal controls are in place and provide cost-effective protection against misuse of resources.

- (4) Ensure the inventory of assessable units covering the entire operation of the command, including any detachments, is current and established per the Department of Defense 15 functional categories, as specified in enclosure (2) of reference (b).
- (5) Assist Department Heads/Special Assistants in performing risk assessments in the format outlined in enclosure (1). The results of risk assessments shall be reported to CINCUSNAVEUR (Code 012), by 31 July of each year, and include the numerical score and vulnerability rating for each assessable unit (high, medium, and low) and reason(s) for areas assessed to be of "high" risk.
- (6) Assist Department Heads/Special Assistants in performing MCP reviews per the provisions outlined in references (a) through (c).
- (7) As directed by the Commanding Officer, request that command management perform a management control review whenever there is cause for concern over the adequacy of internal controls in an assessable unit.
- (8) Prepare an annual Certification Statement Report for submission to CINCUSNAVEUR (Code 012), via Commander, Fleet Air Mediterranean (Code N00I), by 31 July of each year. Ensure that only those weaknesses which meet the criteria of a material weakness as defined in reference (b) are reported.

### b. Department Heads and Special Assistants will:

- (1) Be responsible for designing/maintaining systems of internal Management Control (MC) to protect resources from misuse.
- (2) Ensure that all subordinate personnel with internal control responsibilities comply with the requirements of this instruction to ensure effective internal controls systems are implemented and functional.

- (3) Ensure the performance standards for designated personnel include a standard or element to reflect internal control responsibilities. Positive accomplishments, as well as deficiencies in the performance of these duties, shall be noted in the appraisal process. Appropriate disciplinary or performance based action(s) shall be taken for violations of good internal control practices.
- (4) Review their internal controls on a continuing basis to ensure that resources are cost-effectively protected.
- (5) Perform risk assessments. Risk assessments will be conducted on all assessable units at least once every five years and as significant changes occur. Enclosure (1) will be used when performing a risk assessment.
- (6) Perform MC reviews. MC reviews will be conducted on all assessable units rated "high" during the first two years of the five-year cycle. Reviews of assessable units with ratings of "medium" or "low" are to be scheduled over the entire five-year cycle. MC reviews will also be conducted as requested by the Command MCP Coordinator.
- (7) Implement corrective actions resulting from MC reviews or other internal control efforts in a timely manner.
- (8) Retain all files, correspondence, and records necessary for MCP implementation. Make records available to authorized personnel, such as auditors, inspectors, and investigators.
- (9) Submit to the Commanding Officer, via the Command MCP Coordinator, an annual MCP Certification Statement (enclosure (2)), by 15 June of each year. Significant weaknesses or deficiencies in internal control system require disclosure. A plan of action and milestones must accompany any reported material weakness.
- c. The NAVSUPPACT Naples Staff Judge Advocate will ensure that management officials at all levels are aware of their management control responsibilities and are accountable for the success or failure of management control practices, as specified in references (a) through (c).

B. L. GPAY

d. The Director, Human Resources Office, will assist management officials in assuring NAVSUPPACT Naples civilian personnel with significant internal control duties are identified, and that performance appraisals reflect those responsibilities.

Distribution:

NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5216.4V Lists I: II: III: (22. only)

Copy to:

List: IV (1. and 2. only)

### NAVEUR RISK ASSESSMENT FORM

Organization (Activity/Command)
Unit Identification Code (UIC)
Assessable Unit
Definition
RA Conducted ByDate List your organization code
Reviewed/Approved byDate
PART I - MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
General Control Environment
Questions 1-6 cover organizational, procedural, and operational factors, which can have an impacted on the effectiveness of internal controls.
<u>Value</u>
1. Emphasis on internal controls
(1) Major emphasis (Internal controls are considered in th planning and operation at each level within the organization.)
(3) Moderate emphasis (controls are considered in one or more of the following: evaluations of operations, performance appraisal, and external requirements.)
(5) Minor emphasis (There is little evident consideration of internal controls at most levels within the organization.)

Comments/Documentation. On what basis did you make your
assessment?
2. Coverage by written procedure
(1) Specific guidance with little or no discretion
(3) Flexible guidance with significant discretion
(5) No written guidelines
Comments/Documentation. Are there written procedures for employees to follow within the general rules? How much discretion is allowed? (usually the more discretion allowed, the more potential for abuse) List the pertinent instructions for this assessable unit and specify if they are not current.
3. Specific program goals and measurable accomplishments
(1) Goals/Objectives formally established and monitored
(3) Goals/Objectives used informally or with little follow-up
(5) Goals/Objectives needed, but not established
Comments/Documentation. Establishing program and budgeting goals provide an office and its employees with benchmarks for measuring accomplishments. When these goals are not established, reviewed periodically, updated, and disseminated to employees, successful achievement is less likely. Does this function have goals/objectives established? If so, specify these goals and how you measure/adjust them.

4. Adequacy of checks and balances
(1) Adequate
(3) Needs improvement
(5) Required but totally lacking
Comments/Documentation. This addresses division of tas between personnel. Authority for certain functions is shared among two or more employees or organization levels to minimiz the potential of waste, fraud, abuse, or mismanagement. Determine if checks and balances are appropriate, and if so, determine whether they are adequate to protect the resources. Explain your assessment.
5. ADP used for reporting or operational data
N/A Not Applicable
(1) Data reliability and security are satisfactory
(3) Data reliability or security needs some improvement
(5) Data reliability is a major problem

Comments/Documentation. Many activities are highly dependent on ADP for either operations or providing data or information on which management decisions are made. While use of ADP can save time, there are issues of reliability and security, which are particularly important when the use of automated equipment is involved. If ADP is not used for

the unit being assessed, check the $N/A$ box. For other than ' $N/A$ ' explain your assessment.
6. Personnel Resources
(1) Adequate number of qualified personnel
(3) Adequate number of personnel but some training required
(5) Insufficient number of personnel or majority of staff lacks qualifications or needed training
Comments/Documentation. Select the choice that best depicts both the number of needed personnel available to perform the function and the extent to which these personnel are adequately qualified and trained. Assess the type of training involved. Is it formal training or OJT? Explain your choice.
Analysis of Inherent Risk
Questions 7-16 address the function's inherent potential for waste, fraud, abuse, or mismanagement.
7. Program/Function Responsibility
(1) Navy only
(3) Joint Service
Contractor:
(4) Limited to working on program/function

(5) Responsible for program/function
Comments/Documentation. An important factor in determining the vulnerability of a particular program/function is the extent to which DON internal control mechanisms can effectively monitor and influence program/functional operations. If another service or a contractor has significant responsibility for program administration, inherent risk is greater. Explain your assessment.
8. Scope of written authority
(1) Adequate/Precise (Governing regulations and/or delegation of authority clearly establishes the amount of authority and discretion.)
(3) Clarification required (Amount of authority and discretion is not clearly established.)
(5) No written authority (No written delegations or other official documentation establishing the limits on administering a program or function.)
Comments/Documentation. Document your answer by citing paragraph/reference of regulation (might even be a job description) if you're selecting (1) or (3).
9. Age/Status of Program/Function
(1) Relatively stable
(3) Changing
(5) New or expiring within two years

Comments/Documentation. The idea here is that the newer the program/function the less likely it has stabilized its procedures for administering resources, thus the greater the apportunity for risk. This also applies to situations involving phase out.						
"Relatively stable" implies that the program/function has been existing over a period of years and that procedures are established and in place. Explain your selection.						
10. External impact or sensitivity						
N/A (No external impact.)						
(1) Low Level (Only a small number of individuals or organization is affected.)						
(3) Moderate Level (Function serves or impacts a moderately sizable number of individuals or organization external to the activity.)						
(5) High Level (Significant impact or sensitivity due to a high degree of interest and potential influence by external organizations. This situation exists when managers must continuously consider the external impact of operations.)						
Comments/Documentation. Explain your choice.						
11. Interaction across organizations						
(1) Exclusive to one office						
(3) Within two functional offices						

(4) More Than Two functional offices
(5) Involvement with outside organizations
Comments/Documentation. The thought is that the greater the number of activity offices or outside organizations involved, the greater the risk of error. Explain your selection.
12. Type of transaction document
(1) Non-convertible instruments (Documents, such as memoranda and letters which record transactions but cannot be exchanged for cash or services.)
(3) Convertible to services only (Items such as government meal tickets, GTRs, etc.)
(5) Directly convertible to cash (Examples include salary checks, a check received by the activity, imprest fund vouchers.)
Comments/Documentation. Explain your selection
13. Interval since most recent evaluation, audit, oversight assist visit, inspection
(1) Within the last nine months
(3) Between 9 and 24 months
(5) More than 24 months

Comments/Documentation. Tell who reviewed you, the date of the review, explain how frequently this function is reviewed. Were there any significant/material	
discrepancies?	
14. Recent instances of problems significantly impacting the function	
(1) None in the last 18 months	
(3) Most significant findings or problems fully resolved	
(5) Most significant findings or problems unresolved	
Comments/Documentation. Errors or irregularities would indicate either a lack of internal controls or ineffectiveness of existing ones. If there were any such problems identify them here.	
15. Adequacy of reports	
N/A (No reports generated)	
(1) Accurate and timely	
(3) Often inaccurate, incomplete, and/or late	
(5) Usually inadequate and late	
Comments/Documentation	

16.	Time constraints
(1)	Not a significant factor in operations
(3)	Occasionally a factor
(5)	A significant daily factor
activitie	mments/Documentation. This question applies to es which must operate under severe time
Prelimina	ary assessment of safeguards
17.	Assumed effectiveness of existing controls
(1)	Controls adequate
(3)	Less than adequate
(5)	No existing controls or costs outweigh benefits
	mments/Documentation. Controls are adequate if the improvements required are of a minor nature.
Add up 1	-17 for total score:
PART II	- INDEPENDENT EVALUATION
Rate the	assessable unit: High (Greater than 34) Medium (27 - 34) Low (Less than 27)
	essment reviewed
	/Follow-on

#### ANNUAL MANAGEMENT CONTROL PROGRAM CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

_			
U	~	$\sim m$	
т.	ı	OIII	

To: Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Support Activity,

Naples, Italy

Via: Management Control Program Coordinator (Code 01E)

Subj: ANNUAL MANAGEMENT CONTROL PROGRAM CERTIFICATION STATEMENT FOR THE PERIOD \_\_\_\_\_\_

Ref (a) NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5200.2C

- (2) Status of Corrective Action(s)\*
- (3) Management/Internal Control System Accomplishments\*
- (4) Schedule of Management Control Reviews\*
- 1. Per reference (a), I certify that all assets are safeguarded against waste, loss, unauthorized use, or misappropriation within my area of responsibility and operations and that I and my subordinate supervisors and managers adhere to the Internal Control Standards as established by the General Accounting Office (Comptroller General) and as stated in SECNAVINST 5200.35D and OPNAVINST 5200.25C
- 2. (If applicable). This department's/special assistant's Management/Internal Control Program has been evaluated per reference (a). The evaluation provides reasonable assurance that the objectives of this program were achieved with the exception of those weaknesses noted in enclosure (1), which also include a plan of action for correcting the weaknesses.
- 3. (If applicable). Status of corrective action(s) to previously identified material weaknesses is submitted as enclosure (2).
- 4. (If applicable). Accomplishments of the Management Control Program are described in enclosure (3).

### NAVSUPPACT NAPLES INST 5200.2C

## 15 MAY 2001

5. (If applicable). Enclosure (4) is a summary of Management Control Reviews performed during the reporting period.